

SPEAKER NICHOL: Are you asking him to repeat...

SENATOR WESELY: Well you were mumbling, Senator Pappas.

SENATOR PAPPAS: I've learned well from Senator Warner the last three years. I take pride in my accomplishments. Yes, we are dealing with double jeopardy.

SENATOR WESELY: I don't know, I don't understand much about the bill. Maybe we should talk about it. It could be a good bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Go ahead, if you want to talk about it, go ahead. Senator Vard Johnson.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Well, I think that I am going to vote against this motion to suspend the rules to withdraw this bill. I think that the double jeopardy issue is one which we certainly have discussed at length and we...with the passage of LB 606, we did something in that area but I don't think it hurts for us to have a vehicle on the floor next year to re-examine the question of double jeopardy. For that reason it would be useful to have LB 158 remain alive.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: I wholeheartedly support the withdrawal, the killing, or whatever. We worked very hard to get this 606 negotiated, settling the double jeopardy issue. As long as 158 stands out there and sits out there, it represents, so to speak, a threat or a hint that one side or another isn't ready to live up to that agreement reached. We passed 606, I think it is signed, yes, it was signed. I think it is really appropriate to withdraw this.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Pappas, would you like to close?

SENATOR PAPPAS: Yes, Mr. Speaker, to add a little more to it, one of the reasons I am withdrawing it I think it...the question is fairly well answered and deserves time to see if it works or not under LB 606, but to add a little bit more to it, during the early stages when the bill was out on General File before we passed over it a couple of times, I talked to several of my friends in the Legislative body and one of my good dear friends, Senator Vard Johnson, I asked him if he would support it and he explained to me in a